


Vinton County Family and Children First Council Shared Plan for SFYs 12-16

Shared Plan Update for SFY 16

Current FCFC Initiatives: Help Me Grow Part C, OCTF, Service Coordination, Vinton County Healthy Families, Vinton County Health Services committee



Were there any modifications from last year's plan? Yes No~~x~~

If yes, please identify the types of changes made by checking the appropriate boxes below:

Priorities

Outcomes

Indicators

Strategies

1. Identify any barriers in implementing the plan (i.e. data collection, data tracking, funding, infrastructure, etc.)
data collection of consumer survey, lack of committee of council to work on spreading the work of FCFC
There still seems to be a lack of communication and cooperation with the school superintendent. His vice, Mary Ann Hale, is very open to working together, and attends meetings when she can in place of the superintendent.. With the changes in the local JFS/CSB agency, there will be more involvement of service coordination into the "alternative response" plan that Vinton County is implementing.

2. Identify any successes/how implementing this plan has worked to strengthen the council and county collaboration.
Hopewell health Canters has begun referring youth to council, Children Services has begun to lean on the services of FCFC. The local courts have also used service coordination more than ever before

Report on Indicator Data (Provide data for each outcome indicator listed on the Shared Plan. List only ONE outcome per page. This page can be duplicated as needed).

Shared Outcome: **Increase the access and availability to services in Vinton County**

Indicator(s):	Baseline Data	Current Year Data	Direction of Change (+, -, NC)
Increase consumer satisfaction data	Data: 2015 Year of Data: 2014	Data: 2016 Year of Data: 2015	NC
Increase in referrals from local providers	Data: 2015 Year of Data: 2014	Data: 2016 Year of Data: 2015	+
	Data: Year of Data:	Data: Year of Data:	

3. List the data source(s) for the indicator(s):
 - customer satisfaction surveys from HMG clients
 - Discussion round tables at Family & Children First Council Meetings
(clients are responding more favorably to referral options, as discussed in the local social service council provider meetings)
4. Identify any key findings (explanation of data findings; FCFC actions taken in response to key findings, etc.):

In 2014 there was an 85% rate of satisfaction with HMG, and a 95% satisfaction rate with FCFC. One family was upset that the council did not approve residential treatment for their son.

In 2015 there was again an 85% rate of satisfaction with HMG and a 95% satisfaction rate with FCFC. One family this year was upset that respite was not granted for their own personal family vacation time.

Therefore, there was no change

In 2014 there were 65 referrals from WIC and 4 from juvenile court and 3 from Childrens hospital. In 2015 there were 80 from WIC, 4 from juvenile court and 6 from Childrens hospital. Therefore, there was an increase.